

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/350,989	07/12/1999	FRANCESCO BETORI	33655YD002	9096		
7	590 05/13/2002					
SMITH GAMBRELL & RUSSELL LLP BEVERIDGE DEGRANDI WEILACHER & YOUNG INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GR 1850 M STREET NW SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER			
			GRAYBILL, DAVID E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2827			
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
. 'Office Action Summary		09/350,989		BETORI, FRANCESCO				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David E Graybill		2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13							
2a)□		his action is non-fi		tion on to th	no morite ie			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-20 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 12-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-11 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.					
	tion Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) object	ed to by the Exe	see 37 CFR 1.85(a)	١.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	reply to this Office ac	etion.	,				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	The state of the priority desuments have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a craffit for domestic priority under 55 3.5.5. 3 115(5) (5 4 priority and 5 priority under 55 3.5.5. 3 115(5) (5 4 priority under 55 3.5.5. 3 1								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachm		4 □	1 Interview Summ	ary (PTO-413) Paper l	No(s)			
2) 🗌 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4)	Notice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

The Request for Refund filed 2-13-02 is acknowledged and will be forwarded to the appropriate location for consideration.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or
patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign
country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7-10 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by Sato (5519251) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the combination of Sato (5519251) and applicant's admitted prior art.

At column 4, line 14 to column 11, line 8, Sato teaches an electrically tested electronic device, free from infantile mortality, for direct mounting on a printed circuit board, wherein said electronic device comprises a silicon die 11 having

Art Unit: 2814

a top surface, a bottom surface, and four side edges, in which an integrated circuit is realized externally accessible through a plurality of connection pads 13 and an array of connection pins 14 which are mechanically and removably connected to the die by connection means 21 for removable attachment of said pins, and are electrically connected to the connection pads of the die by electric connection means 15, said electronic device being partially packaged in a resin, wherein each of said connection pins includes a pre-formed portion 16-1 which is not encapsulated in said resin, in order to accommodate the thermal expansion difference between the silicon die and a printed circuit board 48 on which said electronic device is mounted; the pads are arranged along a central line on a side of the die and the pins are attached to the edges of the same side of the die; the pins are obtained by cutting a continuous strip support 27 on which the dies are mounted, wherein the connection pins are distributed on all four side edges of the die, and the electronic device is partially packaged in a resin so as to comprise a semi-package 31 that covers a first surface of the die where the connection pads are arranged together with their connections to the pins made by welded bonding wires ("wirebonding"), as well as all flanks of the die, leaving an opposite second surface of the die exposed, the electric connection means

Art Unit: 2814

between the connection pins and the connection pads are made by welded thin bonding wires ("wire-bonded"), the device is partially packaged in a resin so as to comprise a semi-package, that covers a first surface of the die where the connection pads are arranged together with the connection means between the connection pins and the connection pads, as well as all side edges of the die, leaving an opposite, second surface of the die exposed, the pre-formed portion not encapsulated by resin is a portion of a bent metal pin, and the connection means for removable attachment of the pins is a glue.

To further clarify the teaching "for direct mounting on a printed circuit board," this statement of intended use does not structurally limit the product of the applied prior art, and the product of the applied prior art is inherently capable of being used for the claimed intended use.

To further clarify the teaching, "removably connected," this limitation is inherent in the product of Sato because the pins of Sato are capable of being removed.

To further clarify the teaching "for removable attachment of said pins," this statement of intended use does not structurally limit the product of the applied prior art, and the product of the applied prior art is inherently capable of being used for the claimed intended use.

Art Unit: 2814

To further clarify the teaching that each of the connection pins includes a preformed portion 16-1 not encapsulated in resin, and the preformed portion 16-1 not encapsulated by resin is a portion of a bent metal pin 14, it is noted that, as cited, Sato teaches that "each lead 14 is bent." It is further noted that portion 16-1 is formed beforehand, and is a portion of the bent metal pin 14; therefore, portion 16-1 is a preformed portion of the bent metal pin.

In any case, the limitation "preformed" is a product by process limitation. Furthermore, the product of Sato inherently possesses the structural characteristics imparted by the process limitation. See In re Fitzgerald, Sanders, and Bagheri, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980).

To further clarify the teaching, "electrically tested electronic device, free from infantile mortality," this preambular limitation is a product by process limitation, and the product of Sato appears to be identical to the product of the instant product by process claim.

In the alternative, in the specification at page 2, line 16 to page 4, line 37, applicant admits as prior art an electrically tested electronic device, free from infantile mortality. In addition, it would have been obvious to combine

Application/Control Number: 09/350,989 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

applicant's admitted prior art product with the product of Sato because it would facilitate product reliability.

Claims 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato, and the combination of Sato and applicant's admitted prior art as applied to claims 1, 3, 7-10 and 17-20, and further in combination with McShane (5311057).

Sato does not appear to explicitly teach that the connection means for removable attachment of the pins to the die is a double-sided adhesive tape. Nevertheless, at column 3, lines 37-57; column 5, lines 28-35; and column 7, lines 3-41, McShane teaches a double-sided adhesive tape connection means 20 for removable attachment of pins 18 to a die 12. Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the product of McShane with the product of Sato because it would provide a connection means.

Also, Sato does not appear to explicitly teach that the device includes a three or more point, conductive bar aimed at distributing an electric ground potential or power supply to three or more points of the die.

Nevertheless, as cited, McShane teaches a three point conductive bar ("three conductive layers") aimed at distributing electric ground and power supply to points of the die.

Although the applied prior art does not appear to explicitly teach the limitation, "aimed at distributing the electric ground

Art Unit: 2814

potential or power supply to three or more points of the die," this statement of intended use does not structurally limit the product of the applied prior art, and the product of the applied prior art is inherently capable of being used for the claimed intended use.

Applicant's amendment and remarks filed 11-27-01 are addressed in the rejection supra and are further addressed infra.

Applicant alleges that Sato does not teach a "pre-bent portion," and that "Sato specifically teaches away from using anything but entirely encapsulated terminals except for the exposed bottom surface of the leads." These allegations are respectfully deemed to be unpersuasive because the scope of the instant claims is not limited to a pre-bent portion and using anything but entirely encapsulated terminals except for the exposed bottom surface of the leads, and Sato is not applied to the rejection for these teachings.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/305-3431.

Art Unit: 2814

David E. Graybill
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2814

D.G. 3-May-02